

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/534,038	03/24/2000	Peter J. Wilk	7883.0004-02	2278
22852 7590 03/23/2006 .			EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			BIANCO, PATRICIA	
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			3761	
	•		DATE MAIL ED: 03/23/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/534,038	WOLF ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Patricia M. Bianco	3761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprend for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Oc	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 October 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 15, 16, 18-29 and 73-91 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 73-91 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 15, 18, 20 and 24-28 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 16, 19, 21, 22, 23, and 29 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to by the Examiner sheet and the correction is objected to be sheet and the correction is objected to	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
, ,					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/534,038

Art Unit: 3761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, with respect to the effective filing dates of Rapacki et al. and Foley, filed 10/25/05, with respect to the art rejections in paper 07/19/05 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 102 and 103 rejections under Rapacki and Foley have been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the double patenting was not persuasive, therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Applicant filed new claims 73-91 and "31" (see page 10 for claim 31, which seems to be a typo) and states that they are to be withdrawn to a non-elected invention.

#### Inventorship

In view of the papers filed 3/4/03, the inventorship in this nonprovisional application has been changed by the deletion of the following originally-named inventors: Scott J. Wolf; Greg R. Furnish; Todd A. Hall; David Y. Phelps; Nancy M. Briefs; William Santamore; Daniel Burkhoff; Simon Furnishl Stephen Evans; Roger D. Kamm; Richard Renati; Gerald Melsky; and Eun Bo Shim.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of Office records to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Application/Control Number: 09/534,038

Art Unit: 3761

## Double Patenting

Claims 15, 18, 20, & 24-28 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 52-56, 60, 109, & 116-119 of copending Application No. 10/681,323 (Pub 2004/0147869). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both claim a method for placing a conduit within the heart wall to provide blood flow between an artery and the heart chamber, and the instant application claims recite steps that are a broader recitation than those of the conflicting application. The claims match up as follows:

Instant Application Claim No.	Application 10/681323 Claim No.
. 15	54/53/52
15	55/56/52
15	117/116/52
15	119/118/60
18/15	60
20/15	109/52
25/24/15	52
26/15	54/53/52
27/28/15	52

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/534,038

Art Unit: 3761

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16, 19, 21, 22, 23, and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia M. Bianco whose telephone number is (571) 272-4940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00-6:30, alternate Fridays off.

Art Unit: 3761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 19<sup>th</sup>, 2006

PATRICIA BIANCO PRIMARY EXAMINER Patricia M Bianco Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761